

Supplementary Table S1

Focus group

Questionnaire N°:

Region:

Commune/Village:

Geographical Coordinates:

Socio-cultural group:

Language:

Autochthonous ☐

Immigrants ☐

Number of years leaving in that village (for immigrants):

N° of Young women:

N° of Old women:

Shortage period: learn before starting the questionnaire, if this year the situation is normal related with the shortage period or there have been extraordinary events that exacerbate or ameliorate the situation (ceremonies, good, fair or poor harvest, humanitarian aid...).

Dishes and ingredients consumed at the village at the shortage period
(use as many pages as necessary)

Dish name:	
Ingredients of the staple food	Ingredients of the sauce

Part of the tree used, way of consumption, where they are obtained. Lv, Leaves; Fl, Flowers; Fr, Fruits; Bk, Bark; Rt, Root; Wd, in the wild (bush); Ld, in the land or home garden (preserved but not planted) ; Pl, planted ; Mk, market ; St, stocked ; Aid: humanitarian aid

Species	Part used					Consumption			Obtained in					
	Lv	Fl	Fr	Bk	Rt	Rw	Ck	Pr	Wd	Ld	Pl	Mk	St	Aid

Tree products consumed in the past by you or your ancestors and which are no longer consumed. Lv, Leaves; Fl, Flowers; Fr, Fruits; Bk, Bark; Rt, Root; Hab, habit lost ; Prep, difficult to prepare; Rare, difficult to be found.

Species	Part used					Why they are no longer used for food?			Still available?		When did people stop consuming them? Number of years
	LV	Fl	Fr	Bk	Rt	Hab	Prep	Rare	Yes	No	

Key Respondents

Questionnaire N°:

Agro-ecological Region:

Region/ Department:

Commune/Village:

Geographical Coordinates:

Key respondent name:

Socio-cultural group:

Language:

Autochthonous ☐

Immigrant ☐

Number of years leaving in that village (for immigrants):

Main activity:

List of species mentioned in the documents 1 completed with others cited by the key informant. Use the number to identify the species in the table of threats

Species name from Documents 1 and 2	N°		N°

Perception of the food tree and shrub species conservation status

Condition/N° Species	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
I. Threats Key informants will rate each potential threat for each priority species in each village using scores: 1 = not important, 2 = somewhat important, 3 = very important, 4= not known															
Land clearance															
Fire															
Grazing (Branch or regeneration damage)															
Timber harvest															
Leaves harvest															
Fruit harvest															
Flowers harvest															
Root harvest															
Bark harvest															
Charcoal production															
Pests, disease															
Drought															
Age of trees															
Soil quality (poor soils, fertilizer influence)															
Other															
II. Ease of locating compared with 10 years ago 0= easier (Please, fill in comments the cause of that fact) 1=about the same, 2= harder; 3=not possible															
Ease of locating															
III. Presence of regeneration (Y or N)															
IV. Conservation practices (Y or N)															