

Treatment 1

k	i	b	i	o	b	k	r	f
j	h	d	n	l	t*	d	q*	p
f	k	f	e	b	c	o	e	i
s	n	p	q*	m	h	s	t*	m
r	o	c	o	k	f	c	f	r
l	m	v*	u	q*	v*	a	h	j
i	b	e	c	r	k	r	i	k
t*	q*	a	q*	j	u	p	u	d
e	c	o	r	f	i	e	b	o

Model 1

e	r	g	k	o	r	g	o	e
h	s	g	l	p	a	g	v*	m
s	k	g	f	s	i	g	r	s
j	a	g	n	d	u	g	l	t*
b	i	g	i	f	o	g	k	i
v*	m	g	u	s	q*	g	d	q*
f	b	g	s	e	k	g	i	r
q*	q*	g	t*	j	h	g	p	n
o	e	g	r	b	f	g	b	k

Model 2

c	g	e	c	g	e	i	g	b
n	g	v*	a	g	u	h	g	l
i	g	k	f	g	k	e	g	r
t*	g	q*	j	g	s	q*	g	v*
f	g	o	k	g	r	o	g	i
p	g	l	m	g	p	j	g	q*
r	g	b	i	g	c	b	g	k
d	g	h	q*	g	s	d	g	t*
b	g	r	o	g	f	c	g	f

Model 3

k	g	c	g	b	g	e	g	b
q*	g	s	g	h	g	q*	g	d
o	g	e	g	o	g	k	g	e
p	g	n	g	l	g	j	g	p
r	g	i	g	c	g	o	g	i
a	g	d	g	v*	g	u	g	h
f	g	r	g	i	g	c	g	f
t*	g	u	g	m	g	l	g	j
b	g	k	g	f	g	i	g	r

■ Eucalyptus ■ Native

Figure S1. Arrangement of native species and *Eucalyptus* in Control 1 (T1) had 100% native species; model 1 (M1) had 25% *Eucalyptus* per ha; model 2 (M2) had 33% *Eucalyptus* per ha; and model 3 (M3) had 50% *Eucalyptus* per ha. a = *Aspidosperma cylindrocarpon* Müll.Arg., b = *Citharexylum myrianthum* Cham., c = *Cecropia pachystachya* Trécul, d = *Ceiba speciosa* (A. St.-Hil.) Ravenna, e = *Croton urucurana* Baill., f = *Enterolobium contortisiliquum* (Vell.) Morong, g = *Eucalyptus* spp., h = *Ficus* sp., i = *Guazuma ulmifolia* Lam., j = *Hymenaea courbaril* L., k = *Inga* sp., l = *Joannesia princeps* Vell., m = *Luehea divaricata* Mart., n = *Luehea grandiflora* Mart., o = *Maclura tinctoria* (L.) D. Don ex Steud., p = *Psidium guajava* L., q* = *Pterogyne nitens* Tul., r = *Solanum granulosoleprosum* Dunal, s = *Sapindus saponaria* L., t = *Schinus terebinthifolius* Raddi, u = *Triplaris americana* L., v* = *Stryphnodendron langsdorffii* Mart.